Jin-Soo Kim (jinsoo.kim@snu.ac.kr) Systems Software & Architecture Lab. Seoul National University

Fall 2021

Modern SSDs



The Unwritten Contract

Several assumptions are no longer valid

Assumptions	Disks	SSDs
Sequential accesses much faster than random	\bigcirc	\bigotimes
No write amplification	\odot	\bigotimes
Little background activity	\bigcirc	\bigotimes
Media does not wear down	\odot	\bigotimes
Distant LBNs lead to longer access time	\bigcirc	\bigotimes

2

FTL Architecture

- Sector Translation Layer
 - Address mapping
 - Garbage collection
 - Wear leveling
- Block Management Layer
 - Bad block management
 - Error handling
- Low Level Driver
 - Flash interface



Approaches



FTL on Device

- Flash cards or flash SSDs are already equipped with FTL
- Benefits?

Limitations?

- Hints from file systems or applications can be useful
 - TRIM, Stream, ...

FTL on Device: Example

What happens on file deletion?

TRIM

- ATA interface standard (TI3 technical committee)
 - "The data in the specified sectors is no longer needed"
 - Originally proposed as a non-queued command, but SATA 3.1 introduces the queued TRIM command
 - UNMAP, WRITE SAME with unmap flag in SCSI, DEALLOCATE in NVMe
- Types
 - Non-deterministic Trim: reads may return different data
 - Deterministic Trim: reads return the same data
 - Deterministic Read Zero after Trim: all reads shall return zero
- TRIM commands can be automatically issued on file deletion or format
- fstrim: discard unused blocks on a mounted file system

FTL on Host

FusionIO DFS, Apple APFS / HFS+

Benefits?

Limitations?

Flash File Systems

- Kernel manages raw flash memory directly
- Cross-layer optimization possible
 - Example: file data indexing
 - Legacy file system: <inode #, block #> \rightarrow <LBA> \rightarrow <flash block #, flash page #>
 - Flash file system: <inode #, block #> \rightarrow <flash block #, flash page #>
 - What else?
- Used in old embedded systems, but not so successful
 - JFFS2, YAFFS, UBIFS, ...
 - Why?

Flash-aware Applications

Datacenter applications want to manage the underlying flash directly

• Why?

Diverse proposals on flash interface

- Software Defined Flash [ASPLOS '14]
- Application-managed Flash [FAST '16]
- Open-Channel SSD [FAST '17]
- ZNS(Zoned-NameSpace) SSD [ATC '21]

Another Extreme: DevFS

- Device-level File System [FAST '18]
 - Move file system into the device hardware
 - Use device-level CPU and memory for DevFS
 - Apps. bypass OS for control and data plane
 - DevFS handles integrity, concurrency, crash-consistency, and security
 - Achieves true direct-access
- Challenges
 - Limited memory inside the device
 - DevFS lack visibility to OS state

The Multi-streamed Solid-State Drive

(J.-U. Kang et al., HotStorage, 2014)

Some of slides are borrowed from the authors' presentation.

Effects of Write Patterns

Previous write patterns (= current state) matter

Stream

The Multi-streamed SSD

Mapping data with different lifetime to different streams

Working Example

• High GC efficiency \rightarrow Performance improvement

For effective multi-streaming, proper mapping of data to streams is essential!

Architecture

Case Study: Cassandra

Cassandra's Write Patterns

Write operations when Cassandra runs

Mapping #1: Conventional

Just one stream ID (= conventional SSD)

Mapping #2: Multi-App

Separate application writes (ID I) from system traffic (ID 0)

Mapping #3: Multi-Log

Use three streams; further separate Commit Log

Mapping #4: Multi-Data

Give distinct streams to different tiers of SSTables

Results: Conventional

- Cassandra's normalized update throughput
 - Conventional "TRIM off"

Results: Conventional with TRIM

- Cassandra's normalized update throughput
 - Conventional "TRIM on"

Results: Multi-App

- Cassandra's normalized update throughput
 - "Multi-App" (System data vs. Cassandra data)

Results: Multi-Log

- Cassandra's normalized update throughput
 - "Multi-Log" (System data vs. Commit-Log vs. Flushed data)

Results: Multi-Data

- Cassandra's normalized update throughput
 - "Multi-Data" (System data vs. Commit-Log vs. Flushed data vs. Compaction Data)

Results: GC Overheads

Cassandra's GC overheads

Results: Latency

- Cassandra's cumulated latency distribution
 - Multi-streaming improves write latency
 - At 99.9%, Multi-Data lowers the latency by 53% compared to Normal

- Mapping application and system data with different lifetimes to SSD streams
 - Higher GC efficiency, lower latency
- Multi-streaming can be supported on a state-of-the-art SSD and coexist with the traditional block interface
- Standardized in TIO SCSI (SAS SSDs) in 2015
- Standardized in NVMe 1.3 in 2017